### **Comment #20 - Oral Testimony**

1 2

be removed in the year 1999. There are no other homes in the area and all other properties in the area are zoned commercial.

Sound wall twelve is located adjacent to 278 Barham Avenue. This property is zoned C2PD. The current tenant is a contractor who lives in a house already behind the sound wall.

The second reason we are asking you not to build a sound wall at these two sites is the fact each property has a licensed outdoor billboard and the sound wall will block both signs. Unless you are able to be -- unless you are able to raise -- unless you would allow -- unless you would allow them to be raised, as you have allowed in other places.

If you proceed with the sound wall that blocks the two signs, the liability to the responsible government entity could be between one and two million dollars. We hope you will not build sound wall two and sound wall twelve or, in the alternative, you will work with us to elevate these billboards as mitigation. We request a meeting with you at your earliest convenience. Sincerely, Kirk Veale.

#### Comment #20 (page 1 of 3)

the freeway widening is a perfect -- is the perfect

opportunity to reexamine the effect that the freeway has



## Comment # 20 - Oral Testimony (page 2 of 3)

7 8

on downtown Santa Rosa and how it effectively separates the downtown and makes it so that pedestrians can't cross from one side to the other.

A better solution than widening the freeway through downtown Santa Rosa would be to actually bypass Santa Rosa and put a four-lane tree-lined at-grade boulevard through downtown Santa Rosa so it would once again become or be the pedestrian environment that it historically was.

And now that we are going to re-unify our square, which is just this thing here, and we're going to develop -- how do you say it with the creek? -- construct what's called a Prince Memorial Green Way, Santa Rosa Creek for downtown Santa Rosa, it already -- the creek is already -- the pedestrian paths on the creek are already going to be a real challenge because of the two lanes above. That does have the light in the middle. With six lanes it will be a six-lane tunnel to walk along that creek. And we're spending millions of dollars to make that into pedestrian/bicycle paths. And adding two more lanes is going to make it extremely difficult for anyone to get across there. Even during the day it would be dark.

So this project that we're talking about is actually the perfect time to talk about: What if? What

A

### Comment #20 - Oral Testimony (page 3 of 3)

if we had a dream and saw a tree-lined boulevard through 1 the middle of of downtown Santa Rosa? 2 (Hearing Exhibit Number 6 was marked for 3 4 identification.) 5 DENNIS CHRISTENSEN: I'm Dennis Christensen and I'm the president of Accurate Forklift, 6 7 Incorporated. And I'm here this evening because I was 8 informed that sound wall number two will be between our 9 business and Highway 101. Obviously, or maybe not so 10 obviously, this would be very undesirable to us. I have spoken with the property owner directly south who would 11 12 also be impacted and they are of the same opinion. I 13 have spoken with Ray Boyer and expressed my concerns to 14 him. I will be sending a letter to follow up on our 15 conversation, where he indicated, as I understand it, 16 that the sound wall would not be necessary if the two 17 impacting the property owners did not desire it. 18 ED SISNEROS: My name is Ed Sisneros and I 19 own a business called Iron Village. I have had this 20 business for 25 years. I'm a very concerned business 21 owner. My property is one half mile north off the 22 Robert Lake Road and Santa Rosa Avenue off ramp. I 23 suspect that the volume of traffic will increase twofold during construction of 101. I am concerned that my 24 business will be greatly affected if no improvements are 25

Α

### Sonoma 101 Widening & Soundwall Construction (Wilfred to Route 12) Final Negative Declaration/Final Environmental Assessment

# Response to #20 - Oral Testimony Laura Hall

Comment Response Number

20-A First please see Section 2.4.1 in this document for a discussion on how transportation projects are funded in the Bay Area.

Additionally, even though other alternatives for this location are physically feasible, all projects considered for construction must follow the legally mandated funding process (i.e. - inclusion in planning and programming documents) for the region.

Originally, before Route 101 was constructed, Caltrans planned to locate the freeway west of the City of Santa Rosa; however, the City requested that Caltrans reroute the highway along the existing alignment.